

MORIS DAVIDOVITZ, ESQ. (State Bar #70581)  
 CHARLES BOLCOM, ESQ. (State Bar #193762)  
**DAVIDOVITZ & BENNETT LLP**  
 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 750  
 San Francisco, California 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 956-4800  
 Facsimile: (415) 788-5948

Attorneys for Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff  
 CITY OF EUREKA

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KFD ENTERPRISES, INC., a California  
 Corporation dba Norman's Dry Cleaner,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF EUREKA,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 CITY OF EUREKA,

Counter-Claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff

vs.

KFD ENTERPRISES, INC., a California  
 Corporation dba Norman's Dry Cleaner,  
 Unocal Corporation,

Cross-Defendant and Third Party  
 Defendant

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Third-Party Defendant, Counter-Claimant and Cross-Defendant Environmental  
 Resolutions, Inc. and Defendant, Third Party Plaintiff, and Counter Defendant City of Eureka  
 hereby stipulate as follows:

CASE NO. CV-08-4571 MMC

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER FOR FILING AMENDED  
 THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT BY  
 DEFENDANT CITY OF EUREKA AS  
 TO THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT  
 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOLUTIONS,  
 INC.  
 [CIVIL C.R. 7-12]



1           Whereas Environmental Resolutions, Inc. has filed a Motion for Judgment on the  
2 pleadings inter alia to the Third-Party Complaint of City of Eureka, scheduled for hearing on  
3 April 9, 2010;

4  
5           Whereas the City of Eureka and Environmental Resources, Inc. have conferred about  
6 said motion and the response thereto by the City of Eureka;

7  
8           Whereas City of Eureka believes that in addition to the stipulation herein, a number of  
9 issues raised by said motion can be resolved or otherwise addressed by amendment of said Third  
10 Party Complaint;

11  
12           Whereas City of Eureka believes that the court's ruling on said motion as to the  
13 Complaint filed by KFD Enterprises, Inc. will provide guidance with respect to the viability of  
14 the City of Eureka's claims against Environmental Resources, Inc. under CERCLA and the  
15 HSAA;

16  
17           Therefore, Environmental Resolutions, Inc. and City of Eureka hereby stipulate to each  
18 of the following:

19           (1) City of Eureka may have to and including April 30, 2010 to file its Third Amended  
20 Complaint as to Environmental Resolutions, Inc.;

21           (2) Environmental Resolutions, Inc. may have to and including May 28, 2010 to file a  
22 responsive pleading to said Third Amended Complaint;

23           (3) said Third Amended Complaint shall not add any additional claims for relief against  
24 Environmental Resolutions, Inc.;

25           (4) said Third Amended Complaint shall not seek the recovery of punitive damages  
26 against Environmental Resolutions, Inc.;

27           (5) said Third Amended Complaint shall not seek against Environmental Resolutions,  
28 Inc. the recovery of the attorneys fees of the City of Eureka's attorneys but may seek the

1 recovery of attorneys fees liability which may be imposed on the City of Eureka.

2 In agreeing to this stipulation, Environmental Resolutions, Inc. does not concede that  
3 any type of indemnity is proper, but only that the City of Eureka may seek such  
4 indemnity in the Third Amended Complaint subject to any future legal challenges by  
5 Environmental Resolutions, Inc.

6  
7 DATED: March 16, 2010

DAVIDOVITZ & BENNETT LLP

8  
9 By: /s/ Moris Davidovitz  
10 MORIS DAVIDOVITZ  
Attorneys for CITY OF EUREKA

11  
12 (The filer hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this  
document has been obtained from the signatory below.)


13 DATED: March 16, 2010

GORDON & REES LLP

14  
15 By: /s/ George A. Acero  
16 GEORGE A. ACERO  
17 Attorneys for Environmental Resolutions,  
Inc.

18  
19  
20 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

21  
22  
23 DATED: March 24, 2010

24   
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE